Application of the Lyapunov Exponent Based on Current Vibration Control Parameter (CVC) in Control of an Industrial Robot
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Controlling dynamics of industrial robots is one of the most important and complicated tasks in robotics. In some works [3,7], there are algorithms of the manipulators steering with flexible joints or arms. However, introducing them to calculation of trajectory results in complicated equations and a longer time of counting. On the other hand, works [4,5,6] show that improvement of the tool path is possible thanks to the previous identification of the robot errors and their compensation. This text covers application of Largest Lyapunov Exponent (LLE) as a criterion for control performance assessment (CPA) in a real control system. The main task is to find a simple and effective method to search for the best configuration of a controller in a control system. In this context, CPA criterion based on calculation of LLE by means of a new method [9–11] is presented in the article.
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1. Introduction

Controlling system with use of the Largest Lyapunov Exponent (LLE) is employed in many different areas of the scientific research [9–25]. Typical criteria of control performance assessment (CPA) used in control engineering are widely known and described in each automatics and dynamic system systems control basic guide [8]. The main scope of this article is to investigate application of the new method
presented in [9–11] as the method that can be implemented to allow the control of
the industrial robot dynamics.

At present, industrial robots are used mainly to handle the material, to weld, to
cut or to paint. In these applications not accuracy, but repeatability of the robot
which is higher by one order of magnitude, is most important. Fig. 1 presents
possible combinations of accuracy and repeatability, typical for industrial robots.
Most robots are programmed by PTP method on-line. They are taught the specific
points, which are later repeated for many times when there is a given configuration
of the robot.

This method is used in typical tasks. However, robots are starting to work in
other industry areas, like milling or drilling with the use of specific software like
Robotmaster or PowerMill Robot. In these tasks a total accuracy of the robot is
important because accuracy is demanded in a drilling or milling process. What is
more, the tool paths are generated off-line. Therefore, it is crucial to identify sources
of the errors because they have an impact on the real trajectory of the tool[1, 2].
The accuracy and repeatability of the industrial robots are described by ISO 9283
standard. In some works[3, 7], there are algorithms of the manipulators steering
with flexible joints or arms. However, introducing them to calculation of trajectory
results in complicated equations and a longer time of counting. On the other hand,
works [4, 5, 6] show that improvement of the tool path is possible thanks to the
previous identification of the robot errors and their compensation. The main scope
of this article is to investigate application of LLE as CPA criterion using simple
method for LLE calculation[9].

2. Current vibration control parameter (CVC) - background of the method

The basic task for any control system is to minimize error of regulation. Error of
regulation is a function of time equal to the difference between value of reference
signal $y(t)$ and output signal $x(t)$ of the system:

$$e(t) = x(t) - y(t)$$

where:
- $e(t)$ – error of regulation,
- $y(t)$ – reference signal,
- $x(t)$ – output signal.

In the presented control method, robot and its control system are treated to-
gether as one dynamical system. Generally presented control method bases on the
analysis of the robot trajectory disturbance changes $de(t)$ in direction of the dis-
urbance vector $e(t)$ (Fig. 1). Vector $e(t)$ determines difference between the de-
sirable reference robot trajectory $y(t)$ and the real measured robot trajectory $x(t)$.
Trajectories $y(t)$ and $x(t)$ are analyzed in the six dimensional phase space. That
dimensions are constituted by displacements and velocities of the effector in the
workspace of the robot. It is expected that regulation error attains small values
and tends to zero as quick as possible. We propose CVC measure to determine the
regulation system behaviour qualitatively and quantitatively. We consider Largest
Lyapunov Exponent (LLE) stability measure as the parameter showing quality of
the regulation. LLE shows the average changes of perturbation $e(t)$. The faster $e(t)$ is decreasing to zero the better is the reaction of the control system on to the disturbance appearance. As the reference system can be treated as desired behavior of the analyzed system, one can introduce (CVC) parameter in the following way (1):

While in [38] behavior of $e(t)$ was analyzed with use of the linearized variational equation (2):

$$\frac{de}{dt} = U(y(t))e$$

where: $U(y(t))$ is the Jacobi matrix in the point $y(t)$,

in present case one can calculate actual $e(t)$ and $de(t)$ from the behavior of the two real systems $x(t)$ and $y(t)$. Thus one can find transformation $U(y(t))$ without any knowledge about analysed systems equations.

Analyzing the transformation of the vector $e$ in the direction of the chosen transversal eigenvector $w^*$ of the $U$ transformation, it can be written:

$$\frac{de^*}{dt} = \lambda^* e^*$$

After the transformation:

$$\frac{de^*}{e^*} = \lambda^* dt$$

and the integration for $z^*(0) = z^*_0$, one obtains:

$$e^* = e^*_0 e^{\lambda^* dt}$$

where:

$z^*$ – component of the vector $z$ in the direction of the eigenvector $w^*$,

$\lambda^*$ – eigenvalue in the direction of the eigenvector $w^*$.

Eq. (5) describes a mean transformation of the vector $e^*$ after time $t$.

For $t \to \infty$, $\lambda^*$ is a value of the Lyapunov exponent in the direction of $w^*$. 
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\caption{Graphic illustration of the method}
\end{figure}
In the presented method, Eq. (3) is used for the system stability determination. Using vectors dot product properties (Fig. 1):

\[ \mathbf{e} \cdot \frac{d\mathbf{e}}{dt} = |\mathbf{e}| \left| \frac{d\mathbf{e}}{dt} \right| \cos \varphi \]  

(6)

After simple transformations:

\[ \frac{d\mathbf{e}^*}{dt} = \frac{\mathbf{e} \cdot \frac{d\mathbf{e}}{dt}}{|\mathbf{e}|^2} = \hat{\lambda}^* \]  

(7)

The average value \( \hat{\lambda}^* \) of \( \lambda^* \) is the parameter allowing us to determine stability of the system along the direction of the disturbance \( \mathbf{e} \). Taking also into account that the direction of the disturbance vector \( \mathbf{e} \) is convergent to the eigenvector respective to the largest Lyapunov of the transformation \( \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{y}(t)) \) in Eq. (2), one can conclude that the obtained value \( \hat{\lambda}^* \) is similar to the value of the LLE. That value obtained with use of the procedure given above is the value of the CVC parameter. Introduced CVC parameter allows to control error of regulation \( \mathbf{e}(t) \) (1). It is expected that regulation error attains small values and tends to zero quickly. It means at first, that CVC value should be negative. Moreover the lower is the CVC value, the faster \( \mathbf{e}(t) \) tends to zero.

3. System overview

Measuring position

At present, industrial robots are used mainly to handle the material, to weld, to cut or to paint. In these applications not accuracy, but repeatability of the robot which is higher by one order of magnitude, is most important. Fig. 2 presents possible combinations of accuracy and repeatability, typical for industrial robots.

![Figure 2 Accuracy versus repeatability [2]](image)

The errors may occur from a number of reasons and their identification enables compensation of the errors in a given area (Tab. 1).

Tab. 2 shows repeatability of the positions and paths of ABB robots. As it is seen, their errors are serious in comparison with typical CNC machines used in industry. It is due to the open kinematic structure of the robot having considerably
Table 1 Causes for accuracy and repeatability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mechanics</th>
<th>Kinematics</th>
<th>Dynamics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>gear errors:</td>
<td>link length deviation</td>
<td>dynamic of the real system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>backlash,</td>
<td>displacement of axes</td>
<td>following error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>compliance</td>
<td>zero error</td>
<td>simplified joint controller</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>static, dynamic and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>thermal deformation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>angle transmission error</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Position repeatability and Path repeatability for ABB robots

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Robot Model</th>
<th>Position repeatability [mm]</th>
<th>Path repeatability [mm]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IRB 1600</td>
<td>0.02 - 0.05</td>
<td>0.06 - 0.19 depending on variant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRB 2400</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.11 - 0.15 depending on variant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRB 6700</td>
<td>0.05 - 0.06</td>
<td>0.06 - 0.14 depending on variant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

long arms. Dynamics equations of the robot do not take into account flexibility of joints, etc. The measurements were done on the 6 DOF industrial robot IRB 2400 in Institute of Machine Tools and Production Engineering of Lodz University of Technology. The robot is not calibrated, equipped with electro-spindle which enables mechanical working. A telescopic ball bar QC20-50W by Renishaw (whose measuring accuracy is 0.5m) with Bluetooth wireless technology was placed in the electro-spindle and used in the tests (Fig. 3). The robot performed a circular interpolation according to the given centre point, taking into account the relevant distance of the radius points which comes from the length of the arm.

Figure 3 Robot IRB 2400 with telescoping ball bar
4. The results of the research

In the (Fig 4) comparison between the raw measurement data of the error of regulation \( e(t) \) and averaged data in the form of the CVC parameter. While no useful information can be read out from the \( e(t) \) chart, from the CVC chart one can conclude about many aspects of the robot motion. At first one can see that after each perturbation CVC values converge to zero. It means that robot’s arm oscillates around the expected position. Moreover, one can see that for each perturbation the way that CVC converges to zero is different. Fthat perturbations were introduced in different robot’s positions, or they come from different sources.

![Figure 4](image_url)

*Figure 4* Error of regulation \( e(t) \) and the time – respective CVC parameter.

In the Figs 5 – 14 one can see time series showing the reasons of the disturbances. On each of them one can see the angle positions of chosen links and time-respective CVC values. One can see that perturbations appear in time of the change of direction of some link movement.

Although construction of the IRB 2400 robot is very complicated, from the presented time series one can conclude that main reasons of disturbances are clearances in the robots joints. Moreover, one can find which joint clearance is responsible for the chosen perturbation and how fast disturbance disappears.
Figure 5 Time series of the links angular displacements and CVC parameter – links 1 and 6

Figure 6 Time series of the links angular displacements and CVC parameter – link 2

Figure 7 Time series of the links angular displacements and CVC parameter – link 1
Figure 8 Time series of the links angular displacements and CVC parameter – link 1

Figure 9 Time series of the links angular displacements and CVC parameter – links 4 and 6

Figure 10 Time series of the links angular displacements and CVC parameter – links 2, 3, 5
Application of the Lyapunov Exponent ...

Figure 11 Time series of the links angular displacements and CVC parameter – link 1

Figure 12 Time series of the links angular displacements and CVC parameter – link 1

Figure 13 Time series of the links angular displacements and CVC parameter – link 2
5. Conclusions

The main scope of this article was to investigate application of Largest Lyapunov Exponent (LLE) as a criterion for control performance assessment (CPA) in a real control system. It was shown that the method can be implemented to allow the control of the industrial robot dynamics. The next stage of the investigations could be measuring of the CPA convergence to zero value. That double current vibration control parameter (DCVC) could be the quantitative indicator of such dynamical system behavior.
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